



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/647,208 | 08/26/2003 | Ryoji Watanabe | 116938 | 1893 |
| 25944 7590 08/12/2008 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 320850 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850 | | | | |
| EXAMINER | | | | |
| GETANEH, MESFIN S | | | | |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 2625 | | | | |
| MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 08/12/2008 | | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/647,208

Applicant(s)

WATANABE ET AL.

Examiner

MARK K. ZIMMERMAN

Art Unit

2625

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MARK K. ZIMMERMAN.(3) Moshe Wilensky.(2) Mesfin Getaneh.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 08/07/2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: All.

Identification of prior art discussed: ____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative discussed how examiner interpretate the claims and discussed clarifications on the last office action. Examiner clarifies that claim 1 was not interpreting to be N-up printing or merging multiple images but reading images. And Examiner clarified that the type of data is stored is considered functional language and not given patentable weight. Examiner also pointed out that Terarua teaches the claim structures.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Mark Zimmerman/ SPE 2625

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required